



## Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S58
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Josh and Suzie Calder
<b>Submitter first name</b>	Josh and Suzie
<b>Submitter surname</b>	Calder
<b>Submitter is contact</b>	No
<b>Contact company</b>	Lawson Robinson Ltd
<b>Attention:</b>	Matthew Lawson
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:mlawson@lawsonrobinson.co.nz">mlawson@lawsonrobinson.co.nz</a>
<b>Wish to be heard</b>	Yes
<b>Joint presentation</b>	Yes
<b>Trade competition</b>	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
<b>Directly affected</b>	N/A
<b>Withhold contact details?</b>	No

## Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
--------------	-----------	----------------	---------	-----------------

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
ZONES	GRZ-R5	Amend	<p>Rural Airstrips are an essential and integral part of primary production activities within the rural zones in Central Hawke's Bay district.</p> <p>The limitations which rule GRUZ-R5 seeks to impose on the creation of new or the expansion of the existing rural airstrips will severely limit the utilisation of airstrips within the district. Once a rural airstrip is developed it is often used for multiple properties within a district. The limitation on aircraft movements will result the need for more farm properties to have their own rural airstrip in order to comply with the rules and limitations of activities which is inefficient and not a cost-effective or sustainable use of the natural land resources.</p> <p>The limitation on the number of days use will result in additional pressure on agricultural pilots to cram as much activity into the fourteen day exemption as possible which gives rise to health and safety concerns. Similar concerns arise out of the possibility of the rural sector attempting to use older, unused and unsafe airstrips in order to get around the restrictions on use.</p> <p>The district plan provisions will discourage farmers from upgrading and maintaining their airstrips due to a decrease in usage. This is contrary to work that has been done within the industry and with Federated Farmers, CAA and the Department of Labour/ Worksafe to ensure that all airstrips meet the best possible standards.</p> <p>Aerial top dressing and the use of airstrips is an integral part of farming activities and contributes millions to the Central Hawke's Bay economy. These restrictions will reduce the time that aircraft are able to work within any given part of the district and has the potential of lowering farm production and creating health and safety concerns for agricultural aviation operators.</p> <p>People living within the rural zone should expect that from time to time, rural activities will be undertaken that will give rise to effects that are not the same as those anticipated in residential environments. Issues of reverse sensitivity arise and should not be allowed to detract from the overriding objectives of the rural zone.</p>	<p>Delete conditions a-f being the performance criteria for permitted activity status for new or expansion of existing rural airstrips. Make all other necessary adjustments to the Plan in order to give effect to this decision.</p>

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
ZONES	RPROZ-R5	Amend	<p>Rural Airstrips are an essential and integral part of primary production activities within the rural zones in Central Hawke's Bay district.</p> <p>The limitations which rule GRUZ-R5 seeks to impose on the creation of new or the expansion of the existing rural airstrips will severely limit the utilisation of airstrips within the district. Once a rural airstrip is developed it is often used for multiple properties within a district. The limitation on aircraft movements will result the need for more farm properties to have their own rural airstrip in order to comply with the rules and limitations of activities which is inefficient and not a cost-effective or sustainable use of the natural land resources.</p> <p>The limitation on the number of days use will result in additional pressure on agricultural pilots to cram as much activity into the fourteen day exemption as possible which gives rise to health and safety concerns. Similar concerns arise out of the possibility of the rural sector attempting to use older, unused and unsafe airstrips in order to get around the restrictions on use.</p> <p>The district plan provisions will discourage farmers from upgrading and maintaining their airstrips due to a decrease in usage. This is contrary to work that has been done within the industry and with Federated Farmers, CAA and the Department of Labour/ Worksafe to ensure that all airstrips meet the best possible standards.</p> <p>Aerial top dressing and the use of airstrips is an integral part of farming activities and contributes millions to the Central Hawke's Bay economy. These restrictions will reduce the time that aircraft are able to work within any given part of the district and has the potential of lowering farm production and creating health and safety concerns for agricultural aviation operators.</p> <p>People living within the rural zone should expect that from time to time, rural activities will be undertaken that will give rise to effects that are not the same as those anticipated in residential environments. Issues of reverse sensitivity arise and should not be allowed to detract from the overriding objectives of the rural zone.</p>	<p><b>Delete conditions a-f being the performance criteria for permitted activity status for new or expansion of existing rural airstrips.</b></p> <p>Make all other necessary adjustments to the Plan in order to give effect to this decision.</p>

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
GENERAL DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS	NOISE-S5	Amend	<p>Rural Airstrips are an essential and integral part of primary production activities within the rural zones in Central Hawke's Bay district.</p> <p>The limitations which rule GRUZ-R5 seeks to impose on the creation of new or the expansion of the existing rural airstrips will severely limit the utilisation of airstrips within the district. Once a rural airstrip is developed it is often used for multiple properties within a district. The limitation on aircraft movements will result the need for more farm properties to have their own rural airstrip in order to comply with the rules and limitations of activities which is inefficient and not a cost-effective or sustainable use of the natural land resources.</p> <p>The limitation on the number of days use will result in additional pressure on agricultural pilots to cram as much activity into the fourteen day exemption as possible which gives rise to health and safety concerns. Similar concerns arise out of the possibility of the rural sector attempting to use older, unused and unsafe airstrips in order to get around the restrictions on use.</p> <p>The district plan provisions will discourage farmers from upgrading and maintaining their airstrips due to a decrease in usage. This is contrary to work that has been done within the industry and with Federated Farmers, CAA and the Department of Labour/ Worksafe to ensure that all airstrips meet the best possible standards.</p> <p>Aerial top dressing and the use of airstrips is an integral part of farming activities and contributes millions to the Central Hawke's Bay economy. These restrictions will reduce the time that aircraft are able to work within any given part of the district and has the potential of lowering farm production and creating health and safety concerns for agricultural aviation operators.</p> <p>People living within the rural zone should expect that from time to time, rural activities will be undertaken that will give rise to effects that are not the same as those anticipated in residential environments. Issues of reverse sensitivity arise and should not be allowed to detract from the overriding objectives of the rural zone.</p>	<p><b>Remove 11. &amp;12. and simply make Agricultural Aviation movements exempt.</b></p> <p>Make all other necessary adjustments to the Plan in order to give effect to this decision.</p>
INTERPRETATION	RURAL AIRSTRIP (Definition)	Amend	<p>Definition requires clarification to avoid technical arguments.</p>	<p><b>Amend definition to ensure that the definition of "rural airstrip" includes airstrips from which aircraft involved in agricultural aviation fly from and to at the start and finish of each working day.</b></p> <p>Make all other necessary adjustments to the Plan in order to give effect to this decision.</p>

## Documents included with submission

None

CONTACT