



Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

Submitter No.	S53
Submitter Name	Sam Bradley
Submitter first name	Sam
Submitter surname	Bradley
Submitter is contact	Yes
Email	
Wish to be heard	No
Joint presentation	No
Trade competition	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
Directly affected	N/A
Withhold contact details?	Yes

Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT VALUES	ECO-SCHED5	Oppose	<p>We have SNA numbers 39, 40,41, 42, 43 & part of 59.</p> <p>I have previously shown evidential photos to the mayor among others at a Tikokino Hall 'about SNAs' event. The native beech trees which are the predominant species within all identified SNA areas are progressively dying due to, we assume, climatic conditions i.e. extremely dry Summers. There are already patches of bare land opening up within these areas of trees and they expanding. One, we need to be able to clean them up & secondly if there are no longer any trees within the SNA area - what is the point of having an SNA?</p> <p>My next point relates to SNA numbers 2, 5, 9,10,98 & 99. These SNAs I believe would prohibit any future water storage scheme from being constructed at this site which the consents are still active for. A scheme like this, has the potential to benefit all of HB & beyond.</p> <p>I think that you should dismiss all SNAs as they take away land owners rights to do as they wish on their own private property.</p>	Eliminate SNAs from District Plan

Documents included with submission

None

CONTACT