



## Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S40
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Lance de Malmanche
<b>Submitter first name</b>	Lance
<b>Submitter middle name</b>	Edward
<b>Submitter surname</b>	de Malmanche
<b>Submitter is contact</b>	Yes
<b>Email</b>	
<b>Wish to be heard</b>	Not Stated
<b>Joint presentation</b>	No
<b>Trade competition</b>	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
<b>Directly affected</b>	N/A
<b>Withhold contact details?</b>	Yes

## Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT VALUES	ECO-P1	Oppose	There is a large amount of broom, blackberry, pine trees and old mans beard that we would like to be able to control. Yes there is a small amount of native vegetation in this area which we will protect. Also the current fencing is not in the correct position.	We believe that the area of SNA-176 has not been identified correctly and that this area should be seen in person before it can be classified as a SNA as a majority of the area is broom, blackberry, pine trees and old mans beard.
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT VALUES	NFL – Natural Features and Landscapes	Oppose	We as landowners are unlucky to have a significant amenity feature (SAF-6 Tangarewai Stream) mapped on our property which will mean we will constantly have our farming activities tested but yet SAFs are not needed to meet the RMA obligations. We have a large about of old mans beard in this SAF which we would like to control as well as broom, blackberry, gorse and pine trees. Also the fences are not in the right place.	Significant amenity features should be deleted, because they are unnecessary to meet RMA obligations.

## Documents included with submission

None

CONTACT