



Remember
submissions
close on Friday
6 August 2021
at 5pm.

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991.

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

To: Central Hawke's Bay District Council

1. Submitter details

Full Name	Last <u>BAYLISS</u>	First <u>KATHRYN</u>
Company/Organisation (if applicable)		
Contact Person (if different)		
Email Address		
Address	Postcode	
Phone	Mobile	Home
		Work

2. This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for Central Hawke's Bay

3. I could I could not – gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission (Please tick relevant box)

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete point 4 below:

4. I am I am not – directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

(a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (Please tick relevant box if applicable)

Note: If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

5. I wish I do not wish – to be heard in support of my submission in person (Please tick relevant box)

6. I will I will not – consider presenting a joint case with other submitters, who make a similar submission, at a hearing. (Please tick relevant box)

7. Do you wish to present your submission via Zoom? Yes No

8. Please complete section below (insert additional boxes per provision you are submitting on):

The specific provision of the plan that my submission relates to:

See next pages

Do you: Support Oppose Amend (Please tick relevant box)

What decision are you seeking from Council?

Reasons:

see next pages

Please note: All submissions will be treated as public documents and will be made available on Council's website. However, you may request that your contact details (but not your name) be withheld. If you want your contact details withheld, please let us know by ticking this box.



The specific provision of the plan that my submission relates to:
① Pages NFL-4 and NFL 10, Policy NFL-P5 and principal reasons about the Makāroro Gorge (ONF-4) 2nd paragraph on page NFL10

I oppose both the policy NFL-P5 and principal reasons given for the waterstorage within ONF-4 (Makāroro Gorge). I am seeking Council to delete them both.

My reasons are:

The water storage within ONF-4 Makāroro Gorge is an illegal dam.

The Board of Inquiry also found "that there was conjecture about whether the predicted social effects arising from the Ruataniwha Water Storage Scheme (RWSS) will occur" and "the economic outcomes might not be assured."

The information for the RWSS is outdated, speculative and conjecture. Much has changed since it was done.

More recent reports have said any smaller legal waterstorage facility on the same site is uneconomical and the site is unsuited to smaller volumes (see August 2020 Tonkin + Taylor CHB Water Security project - Stage 1, WaterStorage Options Assessment).

Costs have increased, it is difficult to get workers, and many people are realising the importance of caring for the natural environment.

In Hawkes Bay it has often been proven when irrigation water supplies are available corporate and industrial farmers take over family farms and there are negative social effects. There has been an increase in migrant labour and seasonal casual workers. School roles have fallen. With the change in farm ownership there can be conflicts with new farmers and their different approaches to farming. Automation for many jobs will increase in future.

Most economic reports have not taken into consideration the productivity benefits of conservation & biodiversity, and environmental outcomes of not proceeding with the RWSS. Social benefits of improved water quality and quantity, less land use intensification, a more natural environment to live in could be more benefit compared to a limited number of people who might get a financial benefit from waterstorage in the Makāroro Gorge.

The specific provision of the plan my submission relates to:

② ECO-R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, pages ECO-7, 8, 9, 10, 11 ~~and~~
Clearance of Indigenous Vegetation.

I oppose them.

I am seeking Council to prohibit clearance of Indigenous Vegetation except for ECO-R3 1b. (pages ECO-8, ECO-9). Trimming should be discretionary and limited also to ECO-R3 1b.

The reasons:

Manuka and Kanuka species should be given the same protection and status as other Indigenous Vegetation species. They are important indigenous colonising and nurse plants that grow quickly and provide ideal conditions for the establishment of other indigenous trees and shrubs.

Indigenous vegetation that has naturally re-grown is usually more adapted to the area than planted ~~was~~ by people. They help increase the biodiversity.

If allowing clearance of a limited area and size each year, the cumulative extent over years can be substantial. As there is only a small amount of remaining indigenous cover in CHB all must be protected.

Small, young sizes of indigenous vegetation needs to be allowed to grow and mature as it will eventually replace older vegetation that naturally dies.

ECO-P4 (page ECO-5) 2. Should include all water bodies.

We are encouraged by government, and HBRC, who provide some funding, to plant trees to help control erosion, reduce climate change, enhance our natural environment and help people connect with nature to improve their wellbeing. It has negative effects to allow any indigenous vegetation to be cleared. It affects the environment in many ways. Protecting naturally re-grown indigenous vegetation can save time, labour and money.

③

The provision of the plan that my submission relates to is EWPG - P10/11 Earthworks - hydrocarbon extraction activities; pages EW-1 to EW-19, all references to hydrocarbon activities.

The decision I am seeking from Council is to make hydrocarbons fossil fuels including coal, gas and oil^{mining} activities prohibited.

These produce greenhouse gas emissions and contribute to climate change. Everyone is trying to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and stopping reliance on them.

Oil, gas and coal can have huge negatives on our environment and pose a big risk to our water, soils and air.

Extraction can increase earthquake risks.

Prospecting, exploration, extraction and use of fossil fuels including hydrocarbons, gas, oil and coal can have a negative effect on human and animal health and well-being.