
1.0 INTRODUCTION

Kia ora koutou

Ko Stella August tōku ingoa and I am here today on behalf of the Kairakau Lands Trust.

Sorry I cannot be there today, but I am not feeling 100%. Hopefully I will be better tomorrow and able to attend in person.

Today I will discuss the following points regarding the Hearing Stream 4 Second Stage, Tangata Whenua Matters.

- Workshop/ wānanga process
- Part 1 – Tangata Whenua/ Mana Whenua
- S42 Officers Report – Tangata Whenua Provisions Vol 1
- S42 Officers Report – Tangata Whenua Provisions Vol 2

2.0 WORKSHOP/ WĀNANGA PROCESS

2.1 Tangata Whenua Wānanga Report by independent facilitator Dave Milner

We agree with most of the report however, there was agreement by the participants that this step should have occurred before the formal part of the plan process to allow tangata whenua to:

- share thoughts and ideas
- get a better understanding of the issues
- support each other, and
- be more informed to allow improved participation in plan process.

We would like to see this process adopted during the informal stage of the next review of the district plan in CHB.

2.2 Capacity and capability


This process has taken up a lot of my time and it has been increasingly hard timewise to meet the requirements of me. I was part of a working group to work on the SASM introduction section but I don't think this has been done? I found it difficult not being together in the same room and think there should have been more support (administratively and financially).

3.0 PART 1 - TANGATA WHENUA/ MANA WHENUA

3.1 An amended version of this section was sent to various wananga participants on 2/11/2022 by Pam Kupa. Feedback was requested and the following points reiterate my reply email on 3/11/2022.

3.2 Marae pepeha

Please note the tohu tō/ macrons, they are important! I understand Jill Munro has been in contact with CHBDC directly regarding the pepeha for Pourērere. If not, I can provide it.

MARAE	HAPŪ	PEPEHA
Kairākau	Ngāi Tamaterā Ngāi Te Oatua Ngāti Hikatoa	 <p>Ko Mangakuri rāua ko Tukituki ngā awa Ko Mangatiki te maunga Ko Ngāi Te Oatua, Ngāi Tamaterā, Ngāti Hikatoa ngā hapū Ko Tumāpuhia te tangata Ko Kairākau te whenua tapu Tīhei Kairākau!</p>

3.3 Section content

We have two concerns with the amended version of this section. They are:

- 3.3.1 There is a reference on the first page to when Central Hawkes Bay was first settled by the ancestors of New Zealand Māori. Earliest archaeological evidence points to settlement of New Zealand from the mid-late 13th century (1250-1280 AD) throughout the country (ie no place was settled significantly earlier than any other, settlements around the country occurred contemporaneously).
- 3.3.2 ‘Ngā Reo o te Takiwa: Representative voices’ subsection mentions Ngāti Kere Hapū Authority representing coastal Māori made up of Porangahau, Pourērere and Kairākau hapū. I am certain that Ngāti Kere Hapū Authority does not represent Pourērere and Kairākau hapū. There may be another roopu that is composed of representatives of these coastal hapū dealing with coastal matters? This refers directly to points 5.3.12; 5.4.8; and 5.6.1 of Officers Report: Tangata Whenua Provisions – Volume 1. **This needs to be amended.**

4.0 SECTION 42 OFFICERS REPORT – TANGATA WHENUA PROVISIONS VOL 1

4.1 Key Issue 2: Co-Governance and Iwi Involvement in Decision-Making and Cultural Impact Assessment

Refer to page 30; point 6.4.35 Cultural Impact Assessments

The report mentions Council may be required to make a judgment call or assess on a case-by-case basis about how cultural sites and cultural values may be affected by a development and refers to the SASM SCHED3. Again, our concern comes from the fact that many cultural sites are not recorded and the SASM-SCHED3 does not have many sites listed.

We think this concern is addressed by the amended SASM-P4.

To actively engage with tangata whenua on applications received by the Council for subdivision consents and resource consents relating to proposals affecting or potentially affecting a wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or site(s) of significance, including but not limited to sites identified in SASM-SCHED3 and shown on the Planning Maps.

4.2 Key Issue: TW Methods (not already addressed) & Principal Reasons. Consideration of other options for protection

Refer to page 79; point 14.4.21 Amendment by S42 Officer
We are happy with the proposed amendment.

5.0 SECTION 42 OFFICERS REPORT – TANGATA WHENUA PROVISIONS VOL 2

5.1 SASM I1: Refer to page 16, point 3.4.11.

We offer the following alternative wording in reference to our submission point S84.004.

Protection of site values and areas of cultural and spiritual significance to tangata whenua requires working with tangata whenua who hold this information and knowledge. It will involve establishing meaningful relationships, information sharing and education about the Māori history of Tamatea/ Central Hawkes Bay to understand the value we place on our sites with a shared objective of achieving site protection.

Thank you for your time, and I am happy to take questions.