

Under the Resource Management Act 1991

In the matter of the Central Hawkes Bay Proposed District Plan

**Statement of Evidence of Michael Campbell (Hearing
Stream 4 - Tangata Whenua Second Stage)**

26 October 2022

Hearing Stream 4



SOLICITOR
Dr C Kirman
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities
PO Box 2628, Wellington 6140

COUNSEL
Nick Whittington
Hawkestone Chambers
PO Box 12091, Thorndon,
Wellington 6144
+64 21 861 814
nick.whittington@hawkestone.co.nz

Statement of Evidence of Michael Campbell (Planning – Historic Heritage)

1 Executive Summary

- 1.1 My full name is Michael Robert Campbell. I am a director of Campbell Brown Planning Limited (Campbell Brown). I have been engaged by Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities (“Kāinga Ora”) to provide evidence in support of its primary and further submissions to Central Hawkes Bay District Council’s (“the Council”) Proposed District Plan (“the PDP”).
- 1.2 The key points addressed in my evidence are:
 - (a) I support the proposed changes to Policy TW-P1 Tangata Whenua (TA) chapter of the PDP.

2 Introduction

- 2.1 I am a director of Campbell Brown Planning Limited (‘Campbell Brown’), a professional services firm in Auckland specialising in planning and resource management.
- 2.2 My experience has been set out in the previous hearings for this PDP.

Code of Conduct

- 2.3 Although this is a Council hearing, I confirm that I have read the Expert Witness Code of Conduct set out in the Environment Court’s Practice Note 2014. I have complied with the Code of Conduct in preparing this evidence and agree to comply with it while giving evidence. Except where I state that I am relying on the evidence of another person, this written evidence is within my area of expertise. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed in this evidence.

Scope of Evidence

- 2.4 The Tangata Whenua hearing (second stage) addresses submission points on the provisions of the PDP that relate to the Tangata Whenua provisions of the PDP. The Council has prepared a s42A report to consider submissions and further submissions on these topics.
- 2.5 This evidence addresses Kāinga Ora’s primary submission point S129.012, on the Tangata Whenua matters within the PDP, as they relate to the recommendations of the s42A report on that topic.
- 2.6 With regard to the submission points raised by Kāinga Ora, I confirm that I have reviewed the Council’s s42A report. This evidence focuses on one aspect of the 42A report.

3 TW-P1

3.1 Kāinga Ora's primary submission sought very minor amendments to the Tangata Whenua (TA) chapter, to ensure that objectives and policy wording appropriately defines when TA engagement would be required in relation to resource consent applications.

3.2 Kāinga Ora's primary submission sought to amend TW-P1 as follows:

'To provide for timely, effective and meaningful engagement with Tangata Whenua in resource management decision-making and implementation where Tangata Whenua are ~~interested and/or~~ affected.'

3.3 The reporting planner notes that:

6.4.24 ...RMA provisions [under sections 6-8 and Part 2] give clear direction as to when tangata whenua are affected and when Council are required to enable timely, effective and meaningful engagement. Having an "interest in itself, is not a test or requirement of these provisions".

3.4 The Council's reporting planner has therefore recommended the KO submission be accepted.

3.5 I support the proposed changes proposed by the reporting officer. In my view, the proposed change will clarify when TA engagement would be required in relation to resource consent applications.

4 Conclusion

4.1 In conclusion, I support the proposed changes to TW-P1, as set out by the reporting planner.



Michael Robert Campbell
26 October 2022