

FURTHER
SUBMISSION

FURTHER SUBMISSION

Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan

9 November 2021

To: Central Hawkes Bay District Council

Name of Submitter: Horticulture New Zealand

Contact for Service:

Jordyn Landers
Environmental Policy Advisor
Horticulture New Zealand
PO Box 10-232 WELLINGTON
Ph: 04 470 5669
Email: jordyn.landernz@hortnz.co.nz



OVERVIEW

Submission structure

- 1 Part 1: HortNZ's Role
- 2 Part 2: Further submissions on behalf of HortNZ

Our submission

Horticulture New Zealand (HortNZ) made a submission on the Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan and welcomes any opportunity to continue to work with Central Hawkes Bay District Council and to discuss our submission.

The details of HortNZ's further submissions and decisions we are seeking from Council are set out below.

HortNZ's Role

Background to HortNZ

HortNZ represents the interests of 6000 commercial fruit and vegetable growers in New Zealand, who grow around 100 different crop types and employ over 60,000 workers.

There is approximately 120,000 hectares of horticultural land in New Zealand - approximately 80,000 ha of this is fruit and vegetables. The remaining 40,000 ha is primarily made up of wine grapes and hops, which HortNZ does not represent.

It is not just the economic benefits associated with horticultural production that are important. The rural economy supports rural communities and rural production defines much of the rural landscape. Food production values provide a platform for long term sustainability of communities, through the provision of food security.

HortNZ's purpose is to create an enduring environment where growers prosper. This is done through enabling, promoting and advocating for growers in New Zealand.



HortNZ's Resource Management Act 1991 Involvement

On behalf of its grower members HortNZ takes a detailed involvement in resource management planning processes around New Zealand. HortNZ works to raise growers' awareness of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) to ensure effective grower involvement under the Act.

Further Submission

1. Horticulture New Zealand's (HortNZ) further submissions are contained in the attached table below.
2. HortNZ represents commercial fruit and vegetable growers in the Central Hawkes Bay District, so represents a relevant aspect of the public interest.
3. HortNZ is not a trade competitor and could not gain any advantage in trade competition through this further submission.
4. HortNZ wishes to be heard in support of its further submissions.
5. If others make similar submissions, HortNZ will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Further submission on behalf of HortNZ on Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.004	Definition intensive primary production	Oppose in part	HortNZ seeks the National Planning Standard definition of Intensive Indoor primary production replaces the definition for intensive primary production as notified, rather than as an additional definition.	Replace 'Intensive primary production' definition with 'Intensive Indoor Primary Production' as in the National Planning Standards.
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.005	Definition intensive outdoor primary production	Oppose in part	HortNZ seeks the National Planning Standard definition of Intensive Indoor primary production, not the definition for intensive primary production as notified, on which the submission relies,	Replace 'Intensive primary production' definition with 'Intensive Indoor Primary Production' as in the National Planning Standards. Accept new definition of Intensive Outdoor primary production as sought by the submitter.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.006	Definition Minor upgrading	Support in part/oppose in part	HortNZ supports including a definition of minor upgrading to provide clarity for plan users, however seek that minor upgrading excludes any increase in voltage of the line is not minor upgrading, unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage.	Accept submission to include definition of minor upgrading, but exclude any increase in voltage of the line is not minor upgrading, unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage .

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	120.007	Definition Community facility	Oppose	The definition in the Plan for community facility is the definition in the National Planning Standards and should not be amended in the manner sought by the submitter. The matters sought to be included are provided for as educational facilities.	Reject submission to amend the definition of community facility.
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.009	Definition Helicopter Landing area	Support in part	There needs to be clarity as to the use of areas for helicopter landings and using the CAA terms could assist.	Amend the definition of helicopter landing area either as sought by HortNZ's submission or use CAA terms.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.237	Definition indigenous vegetation	Support in part	HortNZ seeks changes to the definition of indigenous vegetation but also supports the additional words sought by Federated Farmers as they provide greater clarity.	Accept the submission to amend the definition of indigenous vegetation.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.238	Definition intensive primary production	Oppose	HortNZ seeks the National Planning Standard definition of Intensive Indoor primary production replaces the definition for intensive primary production as notified.	Reject submission.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Company Ltd	102.008	Definition post-harvest facility	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks a definition for rural industrial activity and rural service activities. HortNZ seeks that the National Planning Standards definition for Rural Industry be	Reject the submission.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				included in the Plan rather than the definition sought by the submitter. The definition of post-harvest facility specifies the produce included as post-harvest.	
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.248	Definition rural airstrip	Oppose in part	It should be clear that a 'rural airstrip' is for the intermittent use of aircraft ancillary to primary production activities.	Amend definition to provide clarity that a 'rural airstrip' is for the intermittent use of aircraft ancillary to primary production activities.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.013	Definition sensitive activity	Oppose in part/Support in part	HortNZ seeks changes to the definition of sensitive activity and also a separate definition for sensitive activities in the National Grid Yard to be consistent with the NPSET.	Amend the definition of sensitive activities and include a separate definition for sensitive activities in the National Grid Yard to be consistent with the NPSET.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.250	Definition trimming	Support	The changes sought provide greater clarity to the definition	Accept submission
Centralines Ltd	90.005	Pt 2 Strategic direction	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks specific objectives and policies for infrastructure - including that it be protected. HortNZ acknowledges the importance of infrastructure but does not consider that a blanket 'protection' should be applied.	Reject submission seeking that infrastructure is 'protected'.
Chorus NZ Ltd	117.025	Pt 2 Strategic direction	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks specific objectives and policies for infrastructure - including that it be protected. HortNZ	Reject submission seeking that infrastructure is 'protected'.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				acknowledges the importance of infrastructure but does not consider that a blanket 'protection' should be applied.	
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Company Ltd	102.013	RLR-O2	Oppose in part/ Support in part	It is appropriate that rural industry is provided for in the strategic direction. Intensive rural production is included in the definition of primary production so does not need to be specified.	Include 'related rural industry' in RLR-O2
Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	120.010	RLR-PXX new policy	Support in part	Recognition of access to stored water resources is important to a range of stakeholders in the district.	Include a policy relating to the importance of stored water resources to the district.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.016	RLR-P4	Support in part	HortNZ seeks that RLR-P4 is rewritten to clearly link other activities to have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural area, which would, in our view, address the issue identified by Transpower.	Amend RLR-P4 as sought by HortNZ.
James Bridge	105.004	RLR-P4	Oppose	The focus should be on all rural land, not just highly productive land.	Reject submission.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Company Ltd	102.021	RLR-M1	Support in part	Clarification that the Rural zones may include rural industry or other activities that require a rural location is supported.	Amend to clarify that the Rural zones may include rural industry or other activities that require a rural location.
Ngati Kere Hapu Authority	134.004	TW - Nga Tangata	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks that all resource consents automatically include a formal	Reject submission.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
		Whenua o Tamatea		cultural impact assessment. Such an approach is not effects based nor a requirement of the RMA.	
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.011	TW-O2	Support	The change sought provides clarity to the objective and participation of tangata whenua.	Accept submission
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.012	TW-P1	Support	The change sought provides clarity to the policy and participation of tangata whenua.	Accept submission
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.018	NU-Introduction	Oppose in part	The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all provisions relating to the National Grid in the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters. There are benefits in each of the respective approaches. HortNZ seeks to ensure that the provisions are clear, certain and readily accessible to plan users. In particular there are concerns if the provisions are moved within the Plan that inappropriate changes do not result.	Ensure that provisions for the National Grid are clear, certain and accessible to plan users.
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.016	NU-I3	Support	The addition of 'where not appropriately managed' provides a balance within the issue as not all other activities will have an effect on network utilities.	Accept submission
Chorus NZ Ltd	117.033	NU-O3	Oppose	HortNZ does not support an objective of 'protect'. Network utilities needs to be	Reject submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				managed so that they co-exist with other activities in the area. The changes sought are not written as an objective and are inappropriate.	
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.026	NU-PXX (new policy)	Support in part	An explicit policy for the National Grid would give effect to the NPSET but there needs to be recognition that primary production activities need to be provided for within the National Grid Corridor.	Ensure that any new policy for the National Grid provides recognition that primary production activities need to be provided for within the National Grid Corridor.
Chorus NZ Ltd	117.034	NU-P1	Oppose	The proposed NU-P1 adequately addresses the matters of concern	Reject submission
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.030	NU-P5	Oppose in part	HortNZ has sought changes to NU-P5 to be consistent with NU-O3 to recognise and provide for network utilities. The changes sought by the submitter do not reflect the need for controls to be 'to the extent reasonably possible'.	Reject submission
Chorus NZ Ltd	117.038	NU-P5	Oppose	HortNZ does not support a policy of 'protect'. Network utilities needs to be managed so that they co-exist with other activities in the area. The range of limitations sought are extensive and would limit other development in the district.	Reject submission
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.031	NU-Rules	Oppose in part	The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all	Ensure that provisions for the National Grid are clear, certain

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				provisions relating to the National Grid in the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters. There are benefits in each of the respective approaches. HortNZ seeks to ensure that the provisions are clear, certain and readily accessible to plan users and so prefer the provisions to be in the relevant zone chapter. In particular there are concerns if the provisions are moved within the Plan that inappropriate changes do not result.	and accessible to plan users by retaining in the relevant zone chapters.
Central Hawkes Bay District Council	104.007	TRANS-P3	Oppose	TRANS-P3 sought to protect arterial and collector roads within the district. The change sought now seeks to protect all roads. This is a significant change. If the change is due to new classifications then the new classifications should be included in the policy.	Reject submission
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.032	TRANS-P3	Support	The wording sought by the submitter reflects a better balance in the policy.	Accept submission
The Oil Companies	110.013	CL-O1	Support	The reference to 'contaminated land' is appropriate	Accept submission
The Oil Companies	110.001	HAZS- Introduction	Support	The wording sought more clearly reflects the intent.	Accept submission
The Oil Companies	110.002	HAZS- I1	Support	The wording sought more clearly reflects the intent.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.253	HAZS-PXX New policy	Support in part	The submitter seeks wording similar to HAZS-P4 but there are submissions to delete HAZS-P4. The wording sought by the submitter may better implement HAZS-O2.	Accept submission in place of HAZS-P4.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.124	NH-O2	Support	Reference to 'vulnerable activities' is more appropriate than 'built environment' which encompasses buildings and structures which are not for vulnerable activities - as defined.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.130	NH-P5	Support in part	HortNZ is concerned regarding the use of 'significant natural hazard risk' which is undefined. A definition has been sought to assist in interpretation of NH-P5 but also support the focus of 'habitable' buildings, rather than all buildings	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.134	NH-P9	Support	Reference to 'vulnerable activities' is more appropriate as those are the activities of greatest risk.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.136	NH-R1	Support	The additional clause sought provides the ability to carry out works which will not create unacceptable hazard risk.	Accept submission
Ngati Kere Hapu Authority	134.003	SAMS	Oppose in part	A cultural impact assessment should be a blanket requirement for all resource consent applications, there needs to be clear policy guidance in the plan.	Reject submission for all resource consents to require a formal cultural impact assessment.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.160	SAMS-P1	Support	Inclusion of landowners is appropriate.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.170	SAMS-MXX (new method)	Support	Assistance to landowners with SASM would be an appropriate method to achieve objectives.	Accept submission
Hawkes Bay DHB	126.003	ECO	Oppose	It is not the role of the district plan to assess effects of water takes.	Reject submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.017	ECO-OXX- New objective	Support	The new objectives sought provide an appropriate hierarchy on which to base the policy framework.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.018	ECO-P1	Support	A requirement for ground-truthing and existence of more than 1 criteria is supported to ensure assessments are robust.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.019	ECO-P1	Support	Planted shelterbelts should not be included within ECO-P1 so an exclusion is appropriate.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.028	ECO-R1	Support	Planted shelterbelts should not be included within ECO-R1 so an exclusion is appropriate.	Accept submission
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.063	NFL	Support	HortNZ does not support inclusion of significant amenity features and seeks that they be deleted.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.036	NFL-O2	Support	HortNZ does not support inclusion of significant amenity features and seeks that they be deleted.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.070	SUB-O4	Oppose in part/Support in part	The addition on 'resulting new activities' is supported but HortNZ considers that focus should first be on avoiding reverse sensitivity effects followed by mitigation where avoidance is not practicable. An objective of avoidance seeks to address up front potential issues before they emerge and are unable to be mitigated at a later time,	Add 'resulting new activities' to SUB-O4 but not the other changes sought by the submitter.
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.072	SUB-P1	Oppose	Use of minimum lots in the rural environment are a means of preventing fragmentation.	Reject submission.
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.079	SUB-P8	Oppose	Retention of rural character is important in the rural environment to ensure that effects of subdivision do not adversely affect primary production activities.	Reject the submission in respect of the rural environment.
Centralines Ltd	90.035	SUB-P17	Support in part	The submitter has identified that the policy uses the term regionally significant infrastructure which is not a defined term in the Plan. It is not clear if the term is used elsewhere in the Plan. Clarity is required. Use of the HBRC term 'strategic infrastructure' may be appropriate.	Delete 'regionally significant infrastructure' and replace with 'strategic infrastructure' from the HBRPS.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.075	SUB-P18	Oppose	HortNZ does not support removal of 'to the extent reasonably practicable' and note that the policy uses 'regionally	Reject submission but replace regionally significant infrastructure with 'strategic

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				significant infrastructure' which is not a defined term.	infrastructure' consistent with SUB-17 submissions.
Hatuma Lime Co Ltd	98.021	SUB-R1	Support	The submitter seeks additional consideration of reverse sensitivity effects in the rural area. This is supported.	Accept submission
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.090	SUB-R1	Support in part	There needs to be recognition that subdivision in the rural area has different constraints from urban.	Accept in part the submission
Hatuma Lime Co Ltd	98.023	SUB-R5	Support	The submitter seeks additional consideration of reverse sensitivity effects in the rural area. This is supported.	Accept submission
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.099	SUB-SXX new standard	Support in part	HortNZ seeks to ensure that the minimum lots are retained for the Rural zones.	Accept submission to include minimum lots in the Rural Zones
James Bridge	105.021	SUB-S1	Oppose	A lot size of 4000m2 in the General Rural Zone may allow for fragmentation and potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject submission
Regeneration Holdings Ltd	124.001	SUB-S1	Oppose	Support the intent of the submitter (reducing impact of development on versatile land), but are concerned that a minimum lot size of 10,000m2 in the General Rural Zone and 4000m2 in the Rural Production Zone may allow for fragmentation of rural land and potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Hatuma Lime Co Ltd	98.022	SUB-AMXX new assessment matter	Support in part	The submitter seeks additional consideration of reverse sensitivity effects in the rural area but the new AM should apply to all the rural area.	Accept submission but apply to all rural zones.
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.118	SUB-AM11	Oppose	The notified provisions adequately addresses reverse sensitivity which is an important issue in the Rural Zones.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.028	EW-Introduction	Support	Recognition of earthworks as part of normal agricultural and horticultural practices is supported.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.074	EW-P2	Support	The changes seek to recognise existing land use in the zone which is appropriate	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.085	EW-R2	Support	Ancillary Rural Earthworks should be a permitted activity	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.087	EW-P5	Support	The provisions are more consistent with NZECP34:2001	Accept submission
Centralines Ltd	90.039	EW-R7	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks new Matters of discretion where EW-S8 is not met in regards to NZECP34:2001. However the matters sought extend beyond non-compliance with safe electrical distances as required by EW-S8. Therefore only i) and j) would be appropriate as matters of discretion.	If Matters of discretion are included for EW-S8 they should relate to the specific non-compliance with NZECP34:2001.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.097	EW-S6	Support	The provisions are more consistent with NZECP34:2001	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.010	NOISE-S4	Support in part	There needs to be flexibility in the time when agricultural aviation can be undertaken to reflect suitable weather conditions for the activity.	Ensure that agricultural aviation activities can be undertaken in early morning and late afternoon.
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.001	NOISE-S5 (11)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.109	NOISE-S5 (11) and (12)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements and noise undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.003	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on the number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission.
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.004	NOISE-S5 (16)	Support	There should not be a limit on the number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.117	SIGN-R2	Support	There should be clarity regarding signs relating to public safety, including signs regarding hazardous substances.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.125	Zones - General	Oppose in part	The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all provisions relating to the National Grid in the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters. There are benefits in each of the respective approaches. HortNZ seeks to ensure that the provisions are clear, certain and readily accessible to plan users. In particular there are concerns if the provisions are moved within the Plan that inappropriate changes do not result.	Ensure that provisions for the National Grid are clear, certain and accessible to plan users.
Centralines Ltd	90.052	Zones - General	Oppose in part/Support in part	The plan should be clear regarding the status of construction of buildings and structures but PA for all structures may not be appropriate.	Clarify the status of construction of buildings and structures and ensure that an appropriate activity status is applied.
Surveying the Bay Ltd	128.002	Zones - General	Oppose	The submitter seeks that a 5m side yard setback apply to small sites created in under the Operative District Plan the Rural Zones. The provision is sought in all the Rural Zones - not just specific parts - and does not address the issue of reverse sensitivity from primary production activities.	Reject the submission.
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	129.155	GRZ-P6	Oppose	There needs to be provision for primary production activities in the GRZ where rural land has been rezoned GRZ and the	Reject submission and retain GRZ-P6 as notified.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				primary production activities continue until such time as urban development occurs. Therefore the wording of GRZ-P6 as notified is more appropriate.	
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.095	GRUZ- General Rural Zone	Oppose in part	<p>The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all provisions relating to the National Grid in the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters.</p> <p>HortNZ seeks to ensure that adequate provision is included for artificial crop protection structures in the National Grid Yard. HortNZ seeks that the distance from a pole be retained as 8m.</p> <p>The submitter seeks to include 'PSA structures' in the new NU rule but these structures are known as protective canopies.</p>	<p>Retain rule and standards in the relevant zone sections of the Plan.</p> <p>Reject submission points to amend GRUZ-S13 to delete setback of 8m from a pole.</p> <p>Remove reference to PSA Structures in GRUZ-S13 (or its replacement)</p>
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.039	GRUZ- Introduction	Support	There should be a clear focus on primary production in the GRUZ.	Accept submission.
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.040	GRUZ- I2	Support in part	There should be a clear focus on primary production in the GRUZ, except HortNZ seeks that 'land based' be removed from primary production.	Accept submission but delete reference to 'land based' primary production.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.038	GRUZ-OXX new objective	Support in part	HortNZ seeks changes to GRUZ-O2 to better reflect buildings and structures for primary production. Provision for any non-primary production activities should relate to the functional or operational need to locate in the GRUZ so that the scope does not widen for inappropriate activities to locate in the rural zone.	Ensure that any changes to the objectives have a clear focus on providing for primary production activities in the GRUZ.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.037	GRUZ- O1	Support in part/Oppose in part	The wording of GRUZ-O1 is consistent with the National Planning Standards as being predominantly for primary production and activities that support primary production.	Ensure that any changes to the objective wording retain a clear focus on providing for primary production activities in the GRUZ.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.176	GRUZ-O3	Support	The wording sought by the submitter clarifies the intent of the objective.	Accept submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.139	GRUZ- PXXX New policy	Oppose	Subdivision is the appropriate point in time to consider rural water firefighting requirements. The policy sought extends beyond provision of firefighting water supply.	Reject the submission or include as a condition of subdivision, not all rural development.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.050	GRUZ- PXXX New policy	Support in part	There needs to be recognition of rural industry but HortNZ seeks that this is achieved by amending GRUZ-P3	Accept need to recognise rural industry in the policy framework.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.051	GRUZ- PXXX New policy	Support in part	Recognition of the economic benefits of rural activities should include primary	Ensure recognition of the economic benefits of primary production.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				production as the fundamental source of the economic activity.	
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.041	GRUZ- P1	Oppose	GRUZ-P1 is focused on the primary production activities, which includes intensive primary production, which are the predominant activity in the zone. The framework for other activities should be in separate policies - as sought by HortNZ.	Reject the submission to amend GRUZ-P1
Egg Producers Federation of NZ	27.022	GRUZ-P2	Support	The changes sought to GRUZ-P2 better clarify the nature of other activities that may locate in the rural environment.	Accept submission.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.096	GRUZ-P2	Oppose	The changes sought by the submitter would enable activities which could have adverse effects on primary production in the rural zone. The focus should be on activities which have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural zone.	Reject submission.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.185	GRUZ-P8	Oppose	A policy of 'limit' establishes a clear framework to address the identified effects of fragmentation and use of rural land.	Reject submission
Transpower NZ	79.097	GRUZ - Rules	Oppose in part	The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all provisions relating to the National Grid in	Retain rule and standards in the relevant zone sections of the Plan.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				<p>the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters.</p> <p>Rather than having a reference to GRUZ-S13 in the relevant rules the only indication to landowners that National Grid provisions may apply would be in the Introductory note to the GRUZ rules sought by the submitter.</p>	
Centralines Ltd	90.043	GRUZ-Rules	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks new Matters of discretion where GRUZ-S7 is not met in regards to NZECP34:2001. However the matters sought extend beyond non-compliance with safe electrical distances as required by GRUZ-S7. Therefore only b) and c) would be appropriate as matters of discretion.	If Matters of discretion are included for GRUZ-S7 they should relate to the specific non-compliance with NZECP34:2001.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.059	GRUZ- RXX- new rule	Oppose in part	HortNZ also seeks provision in the rules for rural industry but seek that it be provided through changes to GRUZ-R9 rather than a new rule.	Provide for rural industry in the rule framework.
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.140	GRUZ-R1	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.141	GRUZ-R2	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.142	GRUZ-R3	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.189	GRUZ-R4	Support	Inclusion of 'landing areas' is implicit in the rule providing for agricultural aviation movements	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Assoc	43.006	GRUZ-R5	Support	It should be clear that GRUZ-R5 does not apply to facilities for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. Amending GRUZ-R4 as sought by Federated Farmers assists this outcome	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.190	GRUZ-R5	Support	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	Amend GRUZ-R5 as sought by the submitter to provide an exclusion for agricultural aviation movements ancillary to primary production activities.
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.143	GRUZ-R6	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.050	GRUZ-R10	Support	A change in activity status is supported as it would enable assessment of effects on primary production activities.	Accept submission
Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	120.025	GRUZ-R10	Oppose	Controlled activity status for community facilities, across the whole of the rural zone, over 100m ² does not provide for an adequate assessment of effects.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.051	GRUZ-R10	Support	A change in activity status is supported as it would enable assessment of effects on primary production activities.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Ministry of Education	73.020	GRUZ-R11	Oppose	Amending the gross floor areas does not provide for an adequate assessment of effects of larger facilities.	Reject submission
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.058	GRUZ- R19	Support in part	HortNZ seeks provision in the rules for rural industry through changes to GRUZ-R9.	It should be clear that rural industry is not included in GRUZ-R19.
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.155	GRUZ-SXX - new standard	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision. The new standard goes beyond provision of water for firefighting purposes.	Reject submission
The Surveying Company (HB) Ltd	50.014	GRUZ-S5	Oppose	There needs to be greater setbacks for residential buildings from internal boundaries to enable reverse sensitivity effects to be managed.	Reject submission
James Bridge	105.023	GRUZ-S5	Oppose in part	Support the intent of the submitter, provided sufficient setbacks remain from primary production sites.	Ensure reverse sensitivity issues adjacent to primary production sites are addressed
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.056	GRUZ-S6	Support	HortNZ seeks changes to GRUZ- S6 but support the deletion of S6 as an alternative.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.196	GRUZ-S7	Support	For reason set out by submitter	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.198	GRUZ-S12	Support	For reason set out by submitter	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Federated Farmers on NZ	121.200	GRUZ-S13	Support in part	There is a separate standard - S7 that addresses electrical safe distances and does not need to be repeated in S13.	Accept submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.156	GRUZ-AMXX - new assessment matter	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.058	GRUZ-AM2	Support	The matters go beyond shading of land and roads	Accept submission
Ministry of Education	73.035	GRUZ-AM8	Oppose in part	HortNZ seeks that the assessment matter is amended	Accept HortNZ submission to amend GRUZ-AM8 in the General Rural Zone.
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.111	RPROZ- Rural Production Zone	Oppose in part	<p>The submitter is seeking structural change to the Plan to include all provisions relating to the National Grid in the NU chapter rather than in respective zone chapters.</p> <p>HortNZ seeks to ensure that adequate provision is included for artificial crop protection structures in the National Grid Yard. HortNZ seeks that the distance from a pole be retained as 8m.</p> <p>The submitter seeks to include 'PSA structures' in the new NU rule but these structures are known as protective canopies.</p>	<p>Retain rule and standards in the relevant zone sections of the Plan.</p> <p>Reject submission points to amend RPROZ-S15 to delete setback of 8m from a pole.</p> <p>Remove reference to PSA Structures in RPROZ-S15 (or its replacement)</p>

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.065	RPROZ - Introduction	Support	Explicitly including primary production is consistent with the National Planning Standards.	Accept submission
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.063	RPROZ-OXX new objective	Support in part	HortNZ seeks changes to RPROZ-O4 to better reflect buildings and structures for primary production. Provision for any non-primary production activities should relate to the functional or operational need to locate in the RPROZ so that the scope does not widen for inappropriate activities to locate in the rural zone.	Ensure that any changes to the objectives have a clear focus on providing for primary production activities in the RPROZ
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.060	RPROZ- O1	Support in part Oppose in part	The wording of RPROZ-O1 is consistent with the National Planning Standards as being predominantly for primary production and activities that support primary production. There should be a separate objective for rural industry.	Ensure that any changes to the objective wording retain a clear focus on providing for primary production activities in the RPROZ. Include a separate objective for rural industry.
Silver Fern Farms	11.028	RPROZ- O1	Support in part Oppose in part	The wording of RPROZ-O1 is consistent with the National Planning Standards as being predominantly for primary production and activities that support primary production. There should be a separate objective for rural industry.	Ensure that any changes to the objective wording retain a clear focus on providing for primary production activities in the RPROZ. Include a separate objective for rural industry.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.061	RPROZ- O2	Oppose	The additional wording sought is not an objective	Reject submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.177	RPROZ- PXX New policy	Oppose	Subdivision is the appropriate point in time to consider rural water firefighting requirements. The policy sought extends beyond provision of firefighting water supply.	Reject the submission or include as a condition of subdivision, not all rural development.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.074	RPROZ- PXX New policy	Support in part	There needs to be recognition of rural industry but HortNZ seeks that this is achieved by amending RPROZ-P3.	Accept need to recognise rural industry in the policy framework.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.075	RPROZ- PXX New policy	Support in part	Recognition of the economic benefits of rural activities should include primary production as the fundamental source of the economic activity.	Ensure recognition of the economic benefits of primary production.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.066	RPROZ- P1	Oppose	GRUZ-P1 is focused on the primary production activities, which includes intensive primary production, which are the predominant activity in the zone. The framework for other activities should be in separate policies - as sought by HortNZ.	Reject the submission to amend RPROZ-P1
Egg Producers Federation of NZ	27.012	RPROZ-P2	Support in part	The changes sought to RPROZ-P2 better clarify the nature of other activities that may locate in the rural environment and	Accept submission and amend as sought by HortNZ 81.147.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				is similar to changes sought by HortNZ in 81.147.	
Transpower NZ Ltd	79.112	RPROZ-P2	Oppose	The changes sought by the submitter would enable activities which could have adverse effects on primary production in the rural zone. The focus should be on activities which have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural zone.	Reject submission.
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.070	RPROZ- P5	Oppose	The proposed policy framework provides sufficient scope to assessed reduced setbacks through a consent process.	Reject submission
Silver Fern Farms Ltd	116.036	RPROZ-P8	Support in part	HortNZ seeks that the policy is retained but consider that the addition sought by the submitter provides clarity to the policy.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.214	RPROZ-P8	Oppose	A policy of 'limit' establishes a clear framework to address the identified effects of fragmentation and use of rural land.	Reject submission
Centralines Ltd	90.045	RPROZ-Rules	Oppose in part	The submitter seeks new Matters of discretion where RPROZ-S8 is not met in regards to NZECP34:2001. However the matters sought extend beyond non-compliance with safe electrical distances as required by RPROZ-S8. The matters shouldn't be used as a means to protect	If Matters of discretion are included for RPROZ-S8 they should relate to the specific non-compliance with NZECP34:2001.

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
				the operation of the network. Therefore only b) and c) would be appropriate as matters of discretion.	
Te Mata Mushrooms Land Co Ltd	102.084	RPROZ- RXX- new rule	Oppose in part	HortNZ also seeks provision in the rules for rural industry but seek that it be provided through changes to RPROZ-R9 rather than a new rule.	Provide for rural industry in the rule framework.
Silver Fern Farms Ltd	116.039	RPROZ- RXX- new rule	Oppose in part	HortNZ also seeks provision in the rules for rural industry but seek that it be provided through changes to RPROZ-R9 rather than a new rule.	Provide for rural industry in the rule framework.
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.178	RPROZ-R1	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.179	RPROZ -R2	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.180	RPROZ -R3	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.218	RPROZ-R4	Support	Inclusion of 'landing areas' is implicit in the rule providing for agricultural aviation movements	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Assoc	43.008	RPROZ-R5	Support	It should be clear that RPROZ-R5 does not apply to facilities for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. Amending RPROZ-R4 as sought by Federated Farmers assists this outcome.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.181	RPROZ-R6	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.072	RPROZ-R8	Support	A change in activity status is supported as it would enable assessment of effects on primary production activities.	Accept submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.074	RPROZ-R10	Support	A change in activity status is supported as it would enable assessment of effects on primary production activities.	Accept submission
Ministry of Education	73.025	RPROZ-R11	Oppose	Amending the gross floor areas does not provide for an adequate assessment of effects of larger facilities.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.075	RPROZ-R11	Support	A change in activity status is supported as it would enable assessment of effects on primary production activities.	Accept submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.194	RPROZ-SXX - new standard	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision. The new standard goes beyond provision of water for firefighting purposes.	Reject submission
The Surveying Company (HB) Ltd	50.016	RPROZ-S6	Oppose	There needs to be greater setbacks for residential buildings from internal boundaries to enable reverse sensitivity effects to be managed.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.080	RPROZ-S7	Support	HortNZ seeks changes to RPROZ- S7 but support the deletion of S7 as an alternative.	Accept submission

Submitter	Sub #	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.225	RPROZ-S7	Support	HortNZ seeks changes to RPROZ- S7 but support the deletion of S7 as an alternative.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.226	RPROZ-S8	Support	For reasons given by the submitter.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.228	RPROZ-S14	Support	For reason set out by submitter	Accept submission
Federated Farmers on NZ	121.200	RPROZ-S15	Support in part	There is a separate standard - S8 that addresses electrical safe distances and does not need to be repeated in S15.	Accept submission
Federated Farmers on NZ	121.230	RPROZ-S15 (Wrongly coded to RPROZ- R15)	Support in part	There is a separate standard - S8 that addresses electrical safe distances and does not need to be repeated in S15.	Accept submission
Fire and Emergency NZ	57.195	RPROZ-AMXX - new assessment matter	Oppose	Rural water for firefighting should be linked to subdivision.	Reject submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.082	RPROZ-AM2	Support	The matters go beyond shading of land and roads	Accept submission
NZ Pork Industry Board	42.086	RPROZ-Principal Reasons	Support	For reasons given by the submitter	Accept submission