



**Further Submission Form In support of, or in opposition to, submission/s on the
Central Hawkes District Proposed District Plan**

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To submit electronically please go to: www.chbdc.govt.nz/districtplanreview

1. Further Submitter details: (mandatory information)

Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:	Aerospread LTD	
Contact person (if different from above):	Bruce Peterson	
Email address for service:	bruce@aerospread.co.nz	
Postal address for service:	PO Box 12194 Ahuriri Napier	
Preferred method of contact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input type="checkbox"/> Post	Postcode 4110
Phone numbers:	Daytime	Mobile 0212446455

2. Eligibility to make a further submission: (for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)

I am: A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are: *we use Rural Airstrip and are a local Top dressing company.*

3. Request to be heard at a hearing

Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or

No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission

4. Joint submission

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing Yes No

5. Joint submission

I have filled in the table on the next page with details of my further submission.

I have added _____ further pages/sheets that form part of my further submission.

I understand that I am responsible for serving a copy of my further submission on the original submitter(s) within 5 working days after it is served on Council.

6. Joint submission (a signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Signature of further submitter (or person authorised to sign on their behalf) *[Signature]*

Signature: (type name if submitting electronically)

Date:

[Signature]

8.11.21

7. Return this form no later than 5pm Friday 29 October 2021 by:

- Delivery to Central Hawkes Bay District Council office on Ruataniwha Street Waipawa or the Waipawa Library
- Post to Central Hawkes District Council, 28/32 Ruataniwha Street, Waipawa 4210
- Email to districtplan@chbdc.govt.nz

2. Important notes to person making a further submission:**A. Content of further submission**

A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, an original submission. A further submission cannot introduce new matters that were not raised in original submissions.

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

B. Serving a copy of your further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on Council (See table on following page).

C. Privacy Information

Council will make all further submissions, including name and contact details, publicly available on Council's website. Personal information will also be used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public.



Further submissions on submissions to Central Hawkes Bay District Plan from Aerospread Ltd.

Submitter	Submitter number and point	Plan provision	Support / oppose	Reason	Decision Sought
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.009	Definition Helicopter Landing area	Support in part	There needs to be clarity as to the use of areas for helicopter landings and using the CAA terms could assist.	Amend the definition of helicopter landing area either as sought by HortNZ or use CAA terms.
J and S Calder	58.004	Definition rural airstrip	Oppose	It should be clear that a 'rural airstrip' is for the intermittent use of aircraft ancillary to primary production activities, not to be used as a base for use at the start and end of each working day. Such an airstrip is a base or depot.	Reject submission.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.248	Definition rural airstrip	Oppose in part	It should be clear that a 'rural airstrip' is for the intermittent use of aircraft ancillary to primary production activities.	Amend definition to provide clarity that a 'rural airstrip' is for the intermittent use of aircraft ancillary to primary production activities.
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.010	NOISE-S4	Support in part	There needs to be flexibility in the time when agricultural aviation can be undertaken to reflect suitable weather conditions for the activity.	Ensure that agricultural aviation activities can be undertaken in early morning and late afternoon.
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.001	NOISE-S5 (11)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken	Accept submission.

				as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	
J & S Calder	58.003	NOISE S5 (11) and (12)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Ltd	76.001	NOISE-S5 (11) and (12)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Horticulture NZ	81.100	NOISE-S5 (11)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.109	NOISE-S5 (11) and (12)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements and noise undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.002	NOISE-S5 (12)	Support	There should not be a limit on noise for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission .
Karen Middelberg	36.001	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on the number of days for agricultural	Accept submission .

				aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.003	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on the number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Ltd	76.002	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Horticulture NZ	81.101	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.110	NOISE-S5 (13)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements and noise undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	43.004	NOISE-S5 (16)	Support	There should not be a limit on the number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities.	Accept submission.

Ballance Agri-Nutrients Ltd	76.003	NOISE-S5 (16)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Horticulture NZ	81.102	NOISE-S5 (16)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.111	NOISE-S5 (16)	Support	There should not be a limit on number of days for agricultural aviation movements and noise undertaken as an intermittent activity for primary production activities	Accept submission
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.189	GRUZ-R4	Support	Inclusion of 'landing areas' is implicit in the rule providing for agricultural aviation movements	Accept submission
NZ Agricultural Aviation Assoc	43.006	GRUZ-R5	Support	It should be clear that GRUZ-R5 does not apply to facilities for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. Amending GRUZ-R4 as sought by Federated Farmers assists this outcome	Accept submission
Josh and Suzie Calder	58.001	GRUZ-R5	Oppose	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently

				aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Ltd	76.005	GRUZ-R5	Support in part	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.190	GRUZ-R5	Support	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	Amend GRUZ-R5 as sought by the submitter to provide an exclusion for agricultural aviation movements ancillary to primary production activities.
Federated Farmers of NZ	121.218	RPROZ-R4	Support	Inclusion of 'landing areas' is implicit in the rule providing for agricultural aviation movements	Accept submission
Jill Fraser	41.002	RPROZ-R5	Oppose	It should be clear that RPROZ-R5 does not apply to intermittent use for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. This is an activity that is part of primary production in rural areas.	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis

Jill Fraser	41.003	RPROZ-R5	Oppose	It should be clear that RPROZ-R5 does not apply to intermittent use for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. This is an activity that is part of primary production in rural areas.	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis
NZ Agricultural Aviation Assoc	43.008	RPROZ-R5	Support	It should be clear that RPROZ-R5 does not apply to facilities for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities. Amending RPROZ-R4 as sought by Federated Farmers assists this outcome	Accept submission
Josh and Suzie Calder	58.002	RPROZ-R5	Oppose	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Ltd	76.007	RPROZ-R5	Support in part	There needs to be a distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and facilities that are used on a regular basis.	Amend the rule framework to make a clear distinction between rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas used intermittently for agricultural aviation ancillary to primary production activities and

					facilities that are used on a regular basis
--	--	--	--	--	---