In the Environment Court of New Zealand Auckland Registry

I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa Ki Te Tāmaki Makaurau

ENV-2023-AKL-000116

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act)
In the matter of	an appeal under clause 14(1) of the first schedule of the Act
Between	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc Appellant
And	Central Hawke's Bay District Council Respondent

Notice of Transpower New Zealand Limited's wish to be party to proceedings

Dated 31 July 2023



Solicitor: N McIndoe/L D Bullen

E nicky.mcindoe@dentons.com/liam/bullen@dentons.com

- To The Registrar Environment Court Auckland
- 1 Transpower New Zealand Limited ('**Transpower**') wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:
 - an appeal by Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc ('Appellant') against part of the decision ('the Decision') of the Central Hawke's Bay District Council (the 'Respondent') on the proposed Central Hawke's Bay District Plan (the 'Proposed Plan') (Environment Court reference ENV-2023-AKL-000116).

2 Transpower:

- has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has. This is because Transpower, as the State-Owned Enterprise that plans, builds, maintains and operates New Zealand's National Grid, is a network utility operator with responsibility for a network utility (the National Grid). The Appellant's relief includes amendments to provisions that relate to these functions; and
- b made a submission (S79) and further submission (FS18) about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 Transpower is not a trade competitor for the purposes of sections 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 4 Transpower is interested in part of the proceedings.
- 5 The part of the proceedings Transpower is interested in relate to:
 - a providing for the effective operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid; and
 - b managing the effects of third party activities on the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid.
- 6 Transpower is interested in the following particular issues:
 - a Amendments sought to ECO-O2;
 - b Amendments sought to ECO-R1A;

- c Amendments sought to ECO-R3;
- d Amendments sought to ECO-R4; and
- e Amendments sought to ECO-R6.
- 7 Transpower has set out its position in relation to the above provisions and the reasons for those in **Appendix A** to this notice.
- 8 Transpower agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated 31 July 2023

Maday

Nicola McIndoe/ Liam Bullen Counsel for Transpower New Zealand Limited

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Dentons Kensington Swan PO Box 10246 Wellington 6011 Telephone: +64 4 472 7877 Fax: +64 4 472 2291 Email: <u>nicky.mcindoe@dentons.com</u> Contact person: Nicky McIndoe Email: liam.bullen@dentons.com Contact person: Liam Bullen

Appendix A Transpower's Positions

TRANSPOWER'S POSITIONS		
PROVISION	POSITION	REASON
ECO-O2	Neither support nor oppose	The Appellant seeks to amend ECO-O2 to add the word 'enhance' or 'restore' in relation to indigenous biodiversity. Transpower is neutral on the changes sought by the Appellant but considers the National Grid needs to be excluded from being subject to ECO-O2, in order to give effect to the NPS-IB.
ECO-R1A	Oppose	The Appellant seeks to delete all of the permitted activity conditions in ECO-R1A, except for one. It is important Transpower is able to trim, maintain or remove any vegetation that could affect the safe operation, maintenance or upgrade of its lines. Transpower is supportive of a permitted rule which allows it to do so, and, as a result, opposes the amendments sought by the Appellant.
ECO-R3	Oppose	 The Appellant seeks amendments to include additional limits in ECO-R3 in relation to vegetation trimming or clearance. It is important Transpower is able to trim, maintain or remove any vegetation that could affect the safe operation, maintenance or upgrade of its lines. The amendments sought do not give effect to Policies 2 and 5 of the NPSET.
ECO-R4	Oppose	The Appellant seeks amendments to include additional limits in ECO-R4 in relation to vegetation trimming or clearance. It is important Transpower is able to trim, maintain or remove any vegetation that could affect the safe operation, maintenance or upgrade of its lines. The amendments sought do not give effect to Policies 2 and 5 of the NPSET.
ECO-R6	Oppose	The Appellant seeks to delete the note in ECO-R6 which relates to the application of the ECO-R6 in relation to activities regulated by NESETA. The NESETA regulates trimming, felling or removal of any trees or vegetation with a SNA. Transpower opposes the relief sought as it reduces the clarity as to the application of the rule to activities regulated by the NESETA.