

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

**ENV-2023-AKL- 000121**

**IN THE MATTER** of the Resource Management Act 1991

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First  
Schedule of the Act in relation to the  
Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan

**BETWEEN** **James William Bridge**

Appellant

**AND** **Central Hawkes Bay District Council**

Respondent

---

**NOTICE OF WISH TO BE  
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

---

**To:** The Registrar

Environment Court

Auckland

1. Horticulture New Zealand ("**HortNZ**") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") to the following proceedings:
  - (a) *James William Bridge* (**ENV-2023-AKL-000121**) being an appeal against decisions of the Central Hawkes Bay District Council on the Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan
2. HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Central Hawkes Bay District Plan (submission number 081 and further submission number 017).
3. HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Respondent.
4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in, including the particular issues and whether HortNZ supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.
7. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



**Leanne Roberts**

Senior Environmental Policy Advisor  
Horticulture New Zealand

31 July 2023

**Address for service:**

Horticulture New Zealand  
PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143  
Phone: 04 470 5664  
M: 027 546 1655

Email: [leanne.roberts@hortnz.co.nz](mailto:leanne.roberts@hortnz.co.nz)

Contact person: Leanne Roberts

**Advice**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court  
in Auckland

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	Support / Oppose	Reason
Strategic Direction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RLR-P3</li> <li>• RLR-P4</li> </ul>	Oppose	The appellant opposes the direction of lifestyle subdivision to the Rural Lifestyle Zone. The decision is consistent with the direction in the National Planning Standards and seeks to avoid pepper-potting lifestyle subdivision throughout the rural zones. HortNZ supports this approach so opposes the changes sought.
Natural Hazards <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NH-O3</li> <li>• NH-P9</li> <li>• NH-AER1</li> </ul>	Oppose	The appellant seeks to amend the level of risk that is assessed. HortNZ considers that it is important that the assessment should reflect the level of risk posed by the hazard.
GRUZ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GRUZ-S5</li> </ul>	Oppose	The appellant seeks to reduce the setback from neighbours in the rural zone. Reduced setbacks could increase reverse sensitivity effects for growers on adjoining properties so is opposed.